Description of The Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy-General Scale: Relationship with Physician Scale

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Function | Relationship with physician, treatment, medicine |
| Relevance | Social relationship, physical well-being, treatment evaluation |
| Target | Medical Social Services |
| Respondent | Adults |
| Feature | 2 items in 5-point rating scale |
| Reliability | .37 |
| References | Winstead‐Fry, P., & Schultz, A. (1997). Psychometric analysis of the Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy‐General (FACT‐G) scale in a rural sample. *Cancer: Interdisciplinary International Journal of the American Cancer Socie*ty, 79(12), 2446-2452. <https://doi.org/10.1002/(sici)1097-0142(19970615)79:12%3C2446::aid-cncr23%3E3.0.co;2-q>  Yu, C. L., Fielding, R., Chan, C. L., Tse, V. K., Choi, P. H., Lau, W. H., ... & Sham, J. S. (2000). Measuring quality of life of Chinese cancer patients: A validation of the Chinese version of the functional assessment of cancer therapy–general (FACT‐G) scale. *Cancer*, *88*(7), 1715-1727. <https://doi.org/10.1002/(sici)1097-0142(20000401)88:7%3C1715::aid-cncr28%3E3.0.co;2-k> |

Scoring of The Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy-General Scale: Relationship with Physician Scale

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Response | Not at all | A little | Normal | Quite a lot | Very much |
| Score for Items 1, 2 | 0 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Scale score: average of item scores | Action |
| 70-100 | Appreciation |
| 30-70 | Some concern for promotion |
| 0-30 | Great concern for promotion |