Description of Self-efficacy of recipients in the community

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Function | Promoting job search, employment, life satisfaction, self-care |
| Relevance | Competence, self-regulation, social norm |
| Target | Security |
| Respondent | Recipient |
| Feature | 8 items in 5-point rating scale |
| Reliability | .736 |
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Scoring of Self-efficacy of residents in the community

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Response | No | Rather little | Average | Rather a lot | Very much |
| Score for Items 1 – 4 | 0 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 100 |
| Score for Item 5 – 8 (Reverse -coded) | 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Scale score: average of item scores | Action |
| 70-100 | Appreciation |
| 30-70 | Some concern for promotion |
| 0-30 | Great concern for promotion |