Description of Social amity

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Function | Promoting health, survival, involvement |
| Relevance | Social capital, fraternity, social order |
| Target | Rehab |
| Respondent | Rehab |
| Feature | 14 items in 5-point rating scale |
| Reliability | .885 |
| References | Cheung, Chau-kiu, Tak-yan Lee, and Ping Kwong Kam. 2015. “Social Production of Resilience and Adjustment in Social Service Users.” *Advances in Sociology Research* 16:51-72.Isaacowitz, Derek M., George E. Vaillant, and Martin E.P. Seligman. 2003. “Strengths and Satisfaction across the Adult Lifespan.” *International Journal of Aging & Human Development* 57(2):181-201. Peterson, Christopher, and Martin E.P. Seligman. 2004. *Character Strengths and Virtues: A Handbook and Classification*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association. |

Scoring of Social amity

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Response | No | Rather little | Average | Rather a lot | Very much |
| Score for Items 1 –14 | 0 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Scale score: average of item scores | Action |
| 70-100 | Appreciation |
| 30-70 | Some concern for promotion |
| 0-30 | Great concern for promotion |