Description of Social inclusion received

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Function | Promoting fairness, social quality, social participation |
| Relevance | Solidarity, belongingness, social identity, social trust, service accessibility |
| Target | Youth  |
| Respondent | Youth  |
| Feature | 16 items in 5-point rating scale |
| Reliability | .735 |
| References | Ngai, Steven Sek-yum, Chau-kiu Cheung, and Chi-tat Chan. 2019. *Report of Cyber Youth Outreach*. Hong Kong, China: Boys & Girls’ Club Association, Caritas Hong Kong, and Hong Kong Federation of Youth Groups.Phillips, David. 2006. Quality of Life: Concept, Policy and Practice. London: Routledge. |

Scoring of Social inclusion received

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Response | No | Rather little | Average | Rather a lot | Very much |
| Score for Items 1-2, 8-12 and 14-16 | 0 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 100 |
| Score for Items 3-7 and 13 (Reverse -coded) | 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Scale score: average of item scores | Action |
| 70-100 | Appreciation |
| 30-70 | Some concern for promotion |
| 0-30 | Great concern for promotion |