Description of Intended community participation

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Function | Promoting identity, morality, leadership |
| Relevance | Socialization, citizenship, civic engagement, social integration, social control |
| Target | Offense |
| Respondent | Youth |
| Feature | 8 items in 5-point rating scale |
| Reliability | .782 |
| References | Ngai, Ngan-pun, Chau-kiu Cheung,Xialing Xie, Zhongxin Sun, Minxia Tu, and Jijing Chen. 2001. *Assessing the Likelihood of Crime and Delinquency: A Study of Marginal Youths in Hong Kong*. Hong Kong: Chinese University of Hong Kong.Goldstein, Gregory, Robert Novick, and Morris Schaefer. 1990. “Housing, Health and Well-being: An International Perspective.” *Journal of Sociology & Social Welfare* 17(1):161-182. Herrero, Juan, and Enrique Gracia. 2007. “Measuring Perceived Community Support: Factorial Structure, Longitudinal Invariance, and Predictive Validity of the PCSQ (Perceived Community Support Questionnaire).” *Journal of Community Psychology* 35(2):197-217. |

Scoring of Intended community participation

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Response | No | Rather little | Average | Rather a lot | Very much |
| Score for Items 1 – 8 | 0 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Scale score: average of item scores | Action |
| 70-100 | Appreciation |
| 30-70 | Some concern for promotion |
| 0-30 | Great concern for promotion |